



King's Research Portal

DOI:

[10.1017/S135246581500003X](https://doi.org/10.1017/S135246581500003X)

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication record in King's Research Portal](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Campbell, C. A., Albert, I., Jarrett, M., Byrne, M., Roberts, A., Phillip, P., Huddy, V., & Valmaggia, L. (2016). Treating Multiple Incident Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) in an Inner City London Prison: The Need for an Evidence Base. *Behavioural and Cognitive Psychotherapy*, 44(1), 112-117.
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S135246581500003X>

Citing this paper

Please note that where the full-text provided on King's Research Portal is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Post-Print version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version for pagination, volume/issue, and date of publication details. And where the final published version is provided on the Research Portal, if citing you are again advised to check the publisher's website for any subsequent corrections.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognize and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the Research Portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact librarypure@kcl.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Treating multiple incident post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) in an inner city

London prison; the need for an evidence base

Catherine A. Campbell^{1,2}, Idit Albert^{1,3}, Manuela Jarrett^{1,2}, Majella Byrne^{1,2}, Anna Roberts^{1,2},
Patricia Phillip^{1,2}, Vyv Huddy^{2,4} and Lucia Valmaggia^{1,2}

1 King's College London, Institute of Psychiatry, London, UK

2 OASIS in Prison, South London and Maudsley NHS Trust, London, UK

3 Centre for Anxiety Disorders and Trauma South London and Maudsley NHS Trust, London, UK

4 University College London, UK

Background: Mental health problems have been found to be more prevalent in prison populations with higher rates of PTSD being found in sentenced populations compared to the general population. Evidence based treatment in the general population however has not been transferred and empirically supported into the prison system. **Aims:** The aim of this manuscript is to illustrate how trauma focused work can be applied in a prison setting. **Method:** This report describes a two phased approach to treating PTSD starting with stabilisation, followed by an integration of culturally appropriate ideas from narrative exposure therapy (NET), given that the traumas were during war and conflict, and trauma-focused cognitive behavioural therapy (TF-CBT). **Results:** PTSD and paranoia symptoms improved between start and end of treatment, these improvements were maintained at three month follow up. **Conclusion:** This report illustrates successful treatment of multiple incident PTSD in a prison setting using adaptations to TF-CBT during a window of opportunity when individuals are more likely to be free from substances and live in relative stability. Current service provision and evidence based practice for PTSD is urgently required in UK prisons to allow individuals to engage in opportunities to reduce re-offending, free from mental health symptoms.

Introduction

Mental health problems have been found to be more prevalent in prison than community populations and worldwide current rates of PTSD in sentenced populations range from 4% to 21.4% (Goff, Rose, Rose & Purves, 2007). Looking to the UK and London prisons specifically there is little data on rates of PTSD in prison and there is limited evidence base to guide effective assessment and treatment specifically within an adult prison setting. A review (Heckman, Cropsey & Olds-Davis 2007) looking at treatment of PTSD in correctional facilities found eight studies each using different treatments and outlined methodological weaknesses and disappointing outcomes. Recommendations stated that the progress of evidence based PTSD treatment in the general population must be transferred and empirically supported into the prison system. This manuscript illustrates how current evidence based treatments can be adapted for a prison population.

Case illustration

Red was a 22 year old Black African male, who had escaped to the UK following a civil war in his country. Red described positive early experiences with his family however between the ages of eight and ten he experienced multiple traumas, including witnessing the massacre of innocent people, being captured by rebels and the death of a family member next to him from a gun wound. Although he reported feeling safe for the first time on arrival into the UK his teenage years included aggressive outbursts, school exclusion and serving three prison sentences. On his fourth prison sentence Red came to the attention of the OASIS in Prison team, an innovative early detection service in a prison setting (Jarrett et al., 2012), after screening positive during routine screening by OASIS in Prison and undergoing second stage assessment. Red reported suffering from daily nightmares and frequent day time intrusions (e.g. flashbacks and memories). He actively avoided reminders of the traumas and had never previously disclosed what he had been through. Symptoms of hyper-arousal included hyper-vigilance, which understandably increased in prison, irritability and problems with concentration. Red also described experiencing what he called “mad paranoia” and believed that

“everybody” had the intention of being out to harm him. Red said he had used cannabis as a coping strategy to relax and manage physiological symptoms triggered by memories. Red stayed awake till the early hours of the morning to try and avoid having nightmares. His distress was exacerbated further by interpreting his symptoms as a sign that he was losing his sanity.

Assessment

The following measures were used: Comprehensive Assessment of the At-Risk Mental State (CAARMS), the PTSD module of the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV Disorders (SCID); The Patient Health Questionnaire-9 (PHQ9); Generalised Anxiety Disorder Assessment-7 (GAD7), the Clinical Outcomes of Routine Evaluation (CORE-10), the Impact of Events Scale Revised (IES-R) and the Green et al. Paranoid Thought Scales (GPTS), a 32 item self-report measure that separates out ideas of social reference and persecution. Completion of the Distress Tolerance Scale (DTS), a 16 item self-report measure, helped identify Red’s perceived ability to tolerate, reappraise, absorb and regulate distress. Red met DSM-IV criteria for PTSD whilst also scoring on measures relating to paranoia (CAARMS, GPTS).

Treatment

Overview

Following the DTS indicating difficulties with distress tolerance, treatment took a two-phased approach with prior stabilisation focusing on skill development around regulating distress, including both trauma specific strategies (e.g. grounding and discrimination) and more general emotion regulation strategies (Levitt & Cloitre, 2006). The second trauma focused phase was informed by culturally appropriate ideas from NET given the multiple traumatic events from war and conflict (e.g. development and narration of a time line), followed by cognitive restructuring and updating hotspots using TF-CBT. To facilitate learning and retention, overcome self-reported problems with concentration and to increase opportunities for emotional processing (given that sound recording devices are prohibited within prisons so narratives cannot be listened to between sessions), Red

attended bi-weekly shorter sessions over ten months. Memory work sessions attempted to be ninety minutes in length but could be affected by prison unpredictability (see below).

Formulation

Ehlers and Clark's (2000) cognitive model of PTSD was used to formulate Red's presenting symptoms. An adapted vicious flower formulation (Moorey, 2010) was developed collaboratively in sessions to identify key maintaining factors seen to be triggering his "threat system" (see extended version). Symptoms were also understood within a prison context where the need to "be on guard" was to some extent functionally appropriate given the risks of the environment (e.g. gang problems).

Psycho-education

Because of Red's concentration difficulties and limited literacy skills more time was needed to explain concepts such as "fight or flight" and visual handouts were employed to explain his anxiety as the "burglar alarm" of the body.

Memory work

NET guided the development of a timeline, documenting Red's complex trauma history and helped the therapist orient chronologically to the multiple traumas. It also gave Red the opportunity to educate the therapist about his country of origin, explaining cultural beliefs and traditions which helped during cognitive restructuring. Remaining hotspots were targeted using TF-CBT strategies including elaboration, to facilitate further processing and cognitive restructuring allowing identified appraisals (some influenced by cultural beliefs) to be explored and updated, reducing the sense of current threat.

Treatment outcome

After ten months of treatment Red showed a decline in his PTSD symptoms, with changes on the IES-R (see Figure 1) and no longer meeting the DSM-IV criteria. Subjectively Red reported changes in intrusions, distress and behaviour. Changes included no longer having nightmares and unwanted memories, feeling "more in control of my threat system" and feeling less "paranoid" (see

Figure 1). His involvement in fights dropped dramatically evidenced by him not only receiving enhanced status but by becoming a wing cleaner, a role reserved for those more trusted by officers.

Qualitative feedback on the acceptability of memory work in prison

Red provided the following feedback on completion of treatment; “Prison is the most suitable place to do this because you have a clear mind”, “If you really need help you will get things done in prison”, “I wouldn’t have turned up to sessions in the community” and “I felt reluctant to talk about the memories, but I thought if I don’t explain to her what’s going on she won’t be able to help me”. When asked what he would advise others about approaching memory work in prison; “It will be difficult, you may need to be pushed, but I felt good about myself after”, “You also need to feel comfortable with the person you are going to do this work with”. On asking what he found difficult Red said that “it is hard to talk about the memories”, however we agreed that this would be applicable both in the community and in prison. When asked if he thought it was hard returning to the wing after sessions Red said “some days you feel low, some days you feel sad, some days you feel good that you have got it out of your head”. Again this appeared not to be prison specific, but more related to trauma work itself. When asked what helped to return to the wing he said “I noticed that you always made me laugh at the end of sessions”.

Discussion and Reflections

This case study highlights the possibility of successfully treating PTSD within a prison setting using adaptations to conventional TF-CBT. As many challenges to treatment are present within the prison it is important that the decision to proceed with memory work is collaborative. A clear rationale for treatment will need to be shared, ensuring the individual can give their full consent to engaging with memory work. More time may need to be spent on explaining certain psycho-educational concepts and session length and materials should be tailored to the individual’s needs. Measuring distress tolerance can inform the design of treatment and what stabilisation maybe appropriate. In this case

integrating ideas from NET provided a useful approach to conceptualising Reds' multiple traumas in their sociocultural context which enhanced the effectiveness of TF-CBT strategies.

Time restrictions around access to offenders can lead to constraints on predictable treatment sessions. Unplanned lock downs on the wings result in scheduled therapy sessions not taking place. Unpredictable transfers between prisons can lead to abrupt and unplanned endings to therapy. Finding a relatively therapeutic environment for memory work to take place can also present a challenge with options including the wing or appointments in Healthcare with the latter leading to time spent in "holding areas" with other offenders. For individuals who are hyper-aroused, with lingering trauma memories from treatment sessions, this environment may be considered anti-therapeutic. Despite the challenges for therapy in prison it also presents many opportunities. Prison allows individual to engage therapeutically at a time when they are more likely to be substance free, enabling therapists to offer alternatives to community based coping strategies. Obtaining detailed personal history helps to develop comprehensive formulation that can include early attachment experiences and protective factors. Formulating complexities in supervision around disrupted attachments, mistrust of others and emotion regulation abilities allows the therapeutic relationship to offer a calm and containing space with the therapist attuning to their needs which is in sharp contrast to the prison environment. This helps to facilitate engagement. Obtaining consent to work with the wider system can enhance care provision. Prison wing staff and multi-disciplinary healthcare staff can provide monitoring and care between memory work sessions allowing individuals to feel emotionally supported.

Future Implications

Given the multiple traumas that these young men may have endured, expert supervision is needed to oversee high quality treatment delivered by trained therapists. Complexity will need to be formulated appropriately allowing care to be tailored to individual need. Current service provision and evidence base within the prison setting is poor and needs to be addressed urgently. Further

research is needed to validate appropriate assessment measures in the prison setting, where literacy levels can be low, and further research into the need for stabilisation before trauma focused work. Establishing early detection and prevention mental health teams will be paramount in effectively addressing the needs of offenders who should receive an equivalence of care in prison. Equipping individuals with skills to enhance the management of their emotional wellbeing can lead to an increase in confidence, less reliance on substances to cope and more control over possible hypersensitive threat systems. Applying this on their release may help them access the opportunities available to them to engage in a life without offending.

1723 words (excluding acknowledgments, references and abstract)


For further references please see extended version

Acknowledgments

Our special thanks go to the staff and prisoners of the prison, in particular Red who showed incredible courage throughout treatment and kindly gave permission for this write up.

The OASIS in Prison team was financially supported by generous grants from the Guy's and St. Thomas' Charitable Foundation and the Maudsley Charitable Foundation Trust. We also acknowledge the NIHR Biomedical Research Centre for Mental Health at the South London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust and the Institute of Psychiatry Kings College London for their financial support.

Figure 1: Outcome measures at pre, post and three month follow up



References

- Ehlers, A., & Clark, D. M. (2000). A cognitive model of posttraumatic stress disorder. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 38(4), 319-345.
- Goff, A., Rose, E., Rose, S., & Purves, D. (2007). Does PTSD occur in sentenced prison populations? A systematic literature review. *Criminal Behaviour and Mental Health*, 17(3), 152-162.
- Heckman, C. J., Cropsey, K. L., & Olds-Davis, T. (2007). Posttraumatic stress disorder treatment in correctional settings: A brief review of the empirical literature and suggestions for future research. *Psychotherapy: Theory, Research, Practice, Training*, 44(1), 46.
- Jarrett, M., Craig, T., Parrott, J., Forrester, A., Winton-Brown, T., Maguire, H., ... & Valmaggia, L. (2012). Identifying men at ultra high risk of psychosis in a prison population. *Schizophrenia Research*, 136(1), 1-6.
- Levitt, J. T., & Cloitre, M. (2006). A clinician's guide to STAIR/MPE: Treatment for PTSD related to childhood abuse. *Cognitive and Behavioral Practice*, 12(1), 40-52.
- Moorey, S. (2010). The six cycles maintenance model: Growing a “vicious flower” for depression. *Behavioural and Cognitive Psychotherapy*, 38(02), 173-184.